



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,804	12/04/2003	Richard H. Dee	2003-072-TAP	6825
51344 7590 02/26/2010 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. /Oracle America/ SUN / STK 1000 TOWN CENTER, TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238				
EXAMINER				
RENNER, CRAIG A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2627				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/26/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,804	DEE, RICHARD H.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2627	

All Participants:

(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(2) David S. Bir, Attorney For Applicants.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Includes the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barndt (US 6,275,350).

Claims discussed:

Includes claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 22.

Prior art documents discussed:

Includes Barndt (US 6,275,350).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Craig A. Renner/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: N/A

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the invention, claims, and applied prior art, and suggested amendments in order to clarify the claims and define over the applied prior art, supra. These amendment(s), however, will require further search and consideration by the examiner prior to a final determination of allowability. Applicant intends to file a supplemental response in order to further prosecution and expedite prosecution on the merits.